Instructional Unit – Program Review Fall 2019 – Spring 2022

Part 1: - Program Overview

Program Name: Biology

Program Mission and Description: The Biology Department offers a diverse array of courses that serve as core requirements for students pursuing associate and baccalaureate degrees in a number of academic disciplines. As a result, the department mission emphasizes the following: (1) faculty are to prepare all students (science & non-science majors) for their future career goals; and (2) students should be exposed to scientific knowledge that would allow them to make informed decisions as they relate to biological matters.

Program Admission and Awards:

The Biology Department supports the Associate in Arts, Associate in Science and the Associate in Applied Science curriculums through Area III. Both our biology for majors (Bio 103, 104) and biology for non-majors (Bio 101, 102) can help to fulfill the 8 hours of Natural Sciences with laboratory requirement in Area III. In addition, several career programs have specific Biology course requirements. The table below outlines these programs and the courses within the Biology department that are required.

Table 1. Biology Course Program Distribution

Biology		Programs t	hat Utilize Biol	ogy Courses		Degree
Courses						Awarded
BIO 101*						Transfer
						AA/AS
BIO 102*						Transfer
						AA/AS
BIO 103*	Clinical	Emergency	Biomedical	Veterinary		Transfer
	Laboratory	Medical	Equipment	Technology		AA/AS
	Technology	Service	Technology			
		(Paramedic				
BIO 104*						Transfer
						AA/AS
BIO 111	Funeral					AA/AS
	sciences					
BIO 201	Clinical	Biomedical	Nursing	Physical	Radiological	AA/AS
	Laboratory	Equipment		Therapy	Technology	
	Technology	Technology		Assistant		
BIO 202	Nursing	Physical	Radiological			AA/AS
		Therapy	Technology			
		Assistant				
BIO 220	Nursing					AA/AS

^{*}Area III Courses

Table 2. 2019-2022 Biology Program Demographics

Category*	Student Totals (3yrs)	Percentage (of total students)
Total Students*	8356	100%
Number of Male	2352	28%
Number of Female	6004	72%
Age 18-25	5529	66%
Age 26-40	2247	27%
Age 41+	485	6%
African American Students	2042	24%
Asian Students	187	2%
Caucasian Students	5293	63%
Hispanic Students	246	3%

Table 3. Disaggregated Biology Program Demographics

Category*	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2019-2022
Total Students	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of	28%	26%	27%	28%
Male				
Number of	72%	74%	73%	72%
Female				
Age 18-25	71%	69%	69%	66%
Age 26-40	23%	25%	22%	27%
Age 41+	5%	5%	6%	6%
African	24%	25%	25%	24%
American				
Students				
Asian Students	2%	2%	3%	2%
Caucasian	64%	63%	62%	63%
Students				
Hispanic	2%	3%	4%	3%
Students				

^{*}Unduplicated headcounts

Enrollment in biology courses averaged 3382 per academic year which was a significant decline from the previous program review period where we averaged 3709 students per academic year. The most significant drop was 2021-2022 where enrollment in biology courses was only 2964 students. 2021-2022 represents our transition back to more traditional course offerings after the move to internet during the worst of the COVID19 pandemic. We anticipate the enrollment to continue to rise over the next program review period. The number of females was significantly higher than males with an average of 72% compared to 28% for all three years. The difference

in gender is consistent with the overall college demographic. When looking at age cohorts and enrollment based on ethnicity, we saw that the percentages remained steady when compared to the previous review, while the number of students decreased across all demographics proportionally.

Modes of Delivery

The Biology utilizes three modes of delivery – traditional classroom instruction, internet, and hybrid. Total sections decreased 10% in 2020-2021, due to COVID-19. At that time we only online courses during the fall and spring semester. Summer 2021 we transitioned to select traditional offerings. By Fall 2021 we returned to offering a more traditional and hybrid courses, and we can see a significant increase in the number of hybrid courses offered, where students complete the lecture component via internet and come in weekly for the lab. Currently we are only offering BIO 101/102 and BIO 104 in the internet only format.

Table 4. Modes of Delivery

Modes of	Total Sections	Traditional	Internet	Hybrid
Delivery				
2019-2020	205	140	55	10
2020-2021	174	9	165	0
2021-2022	185	101	54	30

Program/Department Goals:

1. Provide pre-professional programs that offer a structured, timely and comprehensive education.

The department continues to offer courses that provide a comprehensive introduction to the biological sciences.

2. Provide quality instruction in freshman and sophomore level courses in biology that transfer to senior institutions, and that lead to associate degrees.

The department offers four transferable biology courses (BIO101, 102, 103, & 104) that meet requirements for both the associates and baccalaureate degrees. We currently offer all transferable courses fall, spring and summer. Historically BIO 104 has only been taught at the Shelby and Jefferson campuses. In order to increase accessibility to students served by our Clanton and Pell City campuses, we now offer BIO 104 online with a lab kit designed for students to use at home.

3. Prepare students with a strong content knowledge in biology with emphasis on critical thinking and problem-solving skills, which will allow them to meet their career goals.

The biology department offers courses that serve the needs of the non-majors, majors, and allied health students. Each course has a distinctive set of specific objectives and a broader set of student learning objectives which enable both faculty and students to stay abreast of the core content that is pertinent to the overall subject matter. Additionally, each course in the department has a laboratory component. This part of the course allows students to demonstrate problem solving and critical thinking skills as they conduct experiments, analyze data, and complete dissections.

4. Advise students regarding choice of courses relevant to their academic major and senior institution. The Biology Department is committed to excellence in student advisement and career planning.

Faculty members advise students during the college's regularly scheduled advising and New Student Orientation sessions. Additionally, all faculty members maintain and "open-door" policy as it pertains to assisting students with their academic and career guidance needs.

5. Support public service activities by providing faculty expertise to government agencies, to industry, to educational systems and professionals desiring additional scientific education or advice.

Throughout the three-year period, faculty members volunteered and participated in a number of professional development activities. Their activities and contributions to the department are as follows:

Dr. Erin Arnold – Over the past three years Dr. Arnold has worked to transition to open educational resources in all of her course offerings. She has worked to design and implement materials that align with the course objectives for BIO 101, BIO 201, BIO 202, and BIO 220 so that her students do not need to purchase any materials. In 2018-2019 Dr. Arnold was selected as a ROSE (Research of Science Education) fellow at UAB. Partnerships gained during her time as ROSE fellow led her to develop and implement at successful course based undergraduate research experience (CURE) in microbiology. Dr. Arnold also had the opportunity to publish and serve as an invited speaker on the topic of implementing CUREs at the Community College and using agar art to increase student engagement in Microbiology. In fall of 2021 Dr. Arnold participated in a semester long workshop on cross cultural virtual exchange. In the Spring of 2022 she partnered with faculty in France to implement at virtual exchange in her nonmajors biology sections. She has been invited to speak about virtual exchange at regional community college conferences. In the fall and spring, Dr. Arnold

partners with other faculty across disciplines and campuses to participate in the Jeffstate Digital Museum. She designs projects for her classes that align with the museum theme and her course objectives. She also participates annually in the professional development designed to augment the Digital Museum. Finally, in the summer of 2022, Dr Arnold received a travel grant to participate in a conference on Urban Agriculture. Currently Dr Arnold is working with other biology faculty on a proposal to start a community garden on campus. On campus, Dr. Arnold serves on the Distance Education Committee and the QEP advisory board. She was also selected to participate in the Alabama Master Teacher Conference. Off campus, Dr. Arnold is Secretary of the Instructional Administrative Association.

Dr. Evan Boitet - Hired in January 2020. Dr. Boitet has implemented the use OER textbooks and lab materials for BIO 103 and BIO 201 courses in order to make course more accessible for students. Since 2021, he has served on the Faculty Senate council as the Jefferson campus representative for the math, science and engineering departments. In May 2022, he participated in the Red Mountain Project, a workshop designed by UAB Sustainability aimed at encouraging faculty to introduce ideas encompassing sustainability, environmental awareness, and climate change into their curriculum. Summer of 2022, he attended the 3rd Lecture Breakers Virtual Conference which focused on teaching strategies designed to break up lectures, energize the classroom, increase student engagement, and improve learning. Currently, working with the JSCC Phi Theta Kappa Honor Society to implement PTK's selected college project of establishing a community garden on the Jefferson campus. Currently a member of the National Science Teaching Association.

Charles Venglarik – Dr Venglarik completed the online course titled "Applying the QM Rubric: ACCS Guide To Success." in the summer of 2021. QM is based on writing measurable learning objectives that align throughout a course. He is in the middle of rewriting the BIO 104S lectures based on the QM Rubric using the Open Education Resource (OER) "BIOLOGY 2e" (OpenStax.org). He also developed "take home" laboratory kits for BIO 104S that provide hands-on experience required for a "manipulative lab". Historically BIO 104S was only taught at the Jefferson and Shelby-Hoover campuses due to low enrollment. Moving the class to online with a lab kit provides access to historically underserved students at the Clanton-Chilton County, and St Clair-Pell City campuses. Dr Venglarik began teaching BIO 220 (General Microbiology) in the Spring of 2020. He has since amassed a large collection of Microbiology textbooks and lab manuals for reference. The Jefferson Campus adopted the OER textbook "Microbiology" (Open Stax.org) for BIO 220. The other textbooks provide additional information and some historical perspective. Dr Venglarik is also collaborating with Dr Arnold to pilot new inquiry-based labs for Microbiology. His collection of lab manuals has been useful in this regard. Their goal is to develop an OER lab manual that will enable students to answer fundamental questions related to microbiology and not saddle them with the expense of a commercial lab manual. Finally, Dr. Venglarik continued his membership in the Human Anatomy and Physiology Society (HAPS) and recently joined the National Association of Biology Teachers (NABT). Membership in HAPS and NABT gives access to historical research articles that have been useful in developing or updating lab exercises and the latest ideas involving pedagogy.

Dr. Kelley Black – Dr. Black has attended several webinars on both scientific concepts as well as educational concepts. One webinar in particular was on the graphing skills of undergraduate students and how to improve the skills. Over the last 3 semesters, she administered a simple survey to all of her classes to see which type of teaching method(s) they preferred. Over 80% of the students who participated in the survey stated that they prefer to have various teaching modes at their disposal. The students are now provided with not only the lecture handout, but also PowerPoint presentations, lecture videos, and practice quizzes at the end of the videos. Students can then chose which type of presentation bests suits their learning style. As a result of the quarantine in 2020, Dr. Black learned new applications for teaching such as producing quality lecture videos that include animations, clips of other videos, and sometimes a little humor. Educational research has shown that humor can increase learning retention by 6%. She has found that if the students are somewhat entertained by the videos, they are much more likely to watch them. All of the lecture notes are provided online, but Dr. Black has been revising them to include hyperlinks to short videos, no more than 1-2 minutes in length. If the student chooses not to watch a lecture video, they can still open a hyperlink to see a short video or animation that helps augment the lecture.

Nic Kin – Is the PI on an R25 awarded from the NIH entitled Blazing to Biomedical Careers". Dr. Kin has also successfully instituted a flipped-classroom model in BIO 103. At the national level, Dr. Kin is a full member of the Training, Workforce development and diversity subcommittee – C(TWD-C) Review Panel, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS). In the local community Dr Kin is the Secretary of the Board of Directors for the Big Canoe Creek Preserve Partners and Chairman of the Board of Directors of the St Clair Community Health Clinic. Dr. Kin was recognized by his Alma Mater, The Ohio State University and was presented the Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program Alan Yates Alumni Award. During the review period, Dr. Kin was also invited to attend the Alabama Master Teacher Conference.

Crystal Wheeler – Dr. Wheeler attended the 36th Annual Conference of the Human Anatomy and Physiology Society in Fort Lauderdale, FL. She also applied for and was accepted as a member of the second cohort of the Community College Anatomy and Physiology Education Research program. Dr. Wheeler,

along with Ms. Miller applied for, and were awarded a grant from the ACHE/ACCS OER Grant Program.

Dr. Julie Maharrey- Dr. Maharrey was a participant in the Instructional Leadership Academy hosted by the Alabama Community College System. On campus, she was just accepted to the JSCC Leadership Academy for the currently academic year. She has participated in a variety of diverse professional development opportunities including: McGraw Hill Reverse Classroom Biology Lab online training; Quality Matters Training; UAB Gross Anatomy for Teachers virtual workshop: HHMI BioInteractive workshops: Exploring the Biology of Skin Color, Using Interactive Videos and Embedded Questions, and Vaccinations; Safe Zone Training, Strategies for Maximizing In-person A&P Labs using digital tools before, during and after lab; McGraw Hill Digital Anatomy symposim, and Lecture Breakers Virtual Conference. Dr. Maharrey also attended the 2022 Diversity Conference offered by the ACCS.

Stephanie Miller – Completed a 3.5 year membership of the Instructional Administrators Association. She also attended the 2021 and 2022 ACCS Diversity Conference. Ms. Miller is a committee member for the Jefferson State Leadership Academy. Earlier in 2022, she attended an OER and Dual Enrollment conference that was sponsored by the Southern Regional Education Board. Stephanie continues to serve as chair of the Biology Department at the Shelby Campus.

Amanda Swindall – Dr. Swindall was selected by her students and peers as the Outstanding Faculty Member for Jefferson State Community College for 2020-2021. She also received the 2020-2021 ROSE Fellowship in partnership with UAB, which focused on implementing new strategies to improve BIO 103 laboratory experiences. In addition, she served as the Anatomy and Physiology committee chair for 2020-2022. Dr. Swindall is the lab director for the Pell City campus and serves on the Honors Day Committee.

Program/Department Outcomes Achievement: The program goals that are explicitly linked to our student learning outcomes would be goals 2 and 3.

The Biology Department offers four courses which meet Area III requirements for transfer to Alabama public four-year institutions. These courses include the following: Introduction to Biology I and II and Principles of Biology I and II. Of these four courses, Introduction to Biology I is the most frequently offered course of the three-year period with an average of 35 course sections.

A review of 3-year assessment data identified certain trends and targets for improvement. When analyzing the data for our non-majors offerings – BIO 101 and BIO 102, the results showed a significant increase in learning success compared to our last 3 year program review (2016-2019). It should be noted that during the review period, the course competencies and learning objectives were reviewed at the level of the ACCS and the courses were changed. The anatomy and

physiology component of BIO 101 was removed and placed in BIO 102 as part of the comparative species content. This has allowed more time in the semester to focus on fundamental Biology concepts which can be correlated to an increase in student understanding of these concepts.

The results for the science major courses, Principles of Biology I (103) and II (104) showed impressive improvements, with no one identifiable factor. Most improvements that we sought to implement during this review cycle were stymied by the pandemic. Now as things are returning back to normal, we will resume the textbook selection process. Our goal is to find a book for BIO 103/104 that aligns with all of the learning objectives for both courses. This process will be headed up by the BIO 103/104 committee. Faculty turn over also likely impacted BIO 103/104 student learning, as during the pandemic we lost the full time faculty members at our two largest campuses (Jefferson and Shelby) that taught a majority of BIO 103 and BIO 104 sections. This transition period is the optimal time to reevaluate and explore new pedagogical methodologies in teaching Biology majors level courses. In BIO 103, one instructor has developed a flipped-classroom model. For BIO 104, the biggest change is that we have a full time instructor that has developed an online section of the course with an at home lab component.

The Biology department offers feeder courses for the Nursing and Allied Health programs. These courses include Anatomy and Physiology I and II and Microbiology. These courses are not part of the STARS articulation agreement. However, students pursuing BS degrees in Nursing and Allied Health fields as well as students meeting criteria for graduate degree programs may complete the courses.

The Anatomy and Physiology I (201) and Anatomy and Physiology II (202) data showed that across the two-semester anatomy and physiology offerings, students have consistently mastered the concept of homeostasis and can identify and recognize major structures for the systems studied. Results indicate students showed significant improvement (compared to 2016-2019) and were able to meet the criteria for success when presented with more conceptual material – defining the structural and functional relationship and understanding the physiology of the various organ systems. Concerted efforts were made to utilize case studies to improve engagement and provide relevant examples of how this material is used. Also, during the review period, all of Anatomy and Physiology courses transitioned to online formats and were able to provide students with materials that they could access 24/7 including, recorded lectures, recorded lab videos, and lab images. Instructors worked diligently to experiment with new online tools to ensure students stayed engaged. As we transitioned back to in person learning, most instructors kept some of the tools, and students were given access to materials to study with at home at their convenience.

Results from student performance in Microbiology (220) demonstrated success in all but the first SLO. The first SLO covers the most basic biology information, highlighting the lack of biology background of most of our students. That said, while we did not hit the 70% threshold, we did see an improvement over the course of 3 years from 57% to 67% success. The topics covered in SLO 1 include cellular biology, cellular respiration, and genetics. It should be noted that general biology (BIO 103) is NOT a prerequisite for BIO 220. The biology faculty overwhelming

supports a mandatory requirement of Principles of Biology I as a standard pre-requisite for the course. If students were to take BIO 103 before BIO 220, faculty believe there would be an increase not only in the content covered in SLO1 but better overall class achievement. In the meantime, the department will continue to implement approaches that include faculty stressing basic cell biology throughout the semester through supplemental activities and emphasis in the laboratory. It should also be noted that while still meeting the 70% threshold for success, we did see a decrease in the success rate for SLO 4, which involves laboratory skills. While instructors employed a variety of creative approaches to meeting the laboratory learning objectives during the COVID induced lockdowns, it appears that none was a perfect substitute for the in person microbiology lab experience. This is something to consider as demand for fully online courses increases.

Part 2: Program/Department Change

Program/Department Goal Changes: We have not currently changed our departmental goals.

Course Student Learning Outcome Changes: Within this cycle we moved to ensure that all sections across all four campuses are assessed. We have reached that goal, with it being standard practice at all campuses to administer the course based SLO assessment quizzes. It should be noted that the course competencies set by the ACCS were changed dramatically for BIO 101/102 and Learning Objectives were modified to reflect those changes. The SLO assessments were also reviewed and updated to ensure we were testing students on material relative to the new learning objectives.

Part 3: Evidence of Staff Participation in Program Review

Faculty/staff participation: The majority of departmental faculty participates in the SLO assessment and analysis process. Roles are divided by course offerings as can be seen in the below table. The department has been divided into course based committees (* denotes chair for the Program Review time frame). The committee is responsible for managing the SLO assessment reports, book selections and other department wide policies for each specific course offering.

Table 4. Faculty SLO Course Assignments

BIO 101	BIO 102	BIO 103	BIO 104	BIO 201	BIO 202	BIO 220
Crystal	Crystal	Charles	Charles	Amanda	Amanda	Stephanie
Wheeler*	Wheeler*	Venglarik*	Venglarik*	Swindall*	Swindall*	Miller*
Erin	Erin	Kelley	Kelley	Julie	Julie	Kelley
Arnold	Arnold	Black	Black	Maharrey	Maharrey	Black
Nic Kin	Nic Kin	Evan	Evan	Evan	Evan	Nic Kin
		Boitet	Boitet	Boitet	Boitet	
Julie	Julie	Stephanie	Stephanie	Zareen	Zareen	Erin Arnold
Maharrey	Maharrey	Miller	Miller	Dodwad-	Dodwad-	
				Kahn	Kahn	
Zareen	Zareen			Crystal	Crystal	
Dodwad-	Dodwad-			Wheeler	Wheeler	
Kahn	Kahn					

All SLO data is collected and compiled into Excel spreadsheets (designed by Charles Venglarik) each semester.



Program: Biology (BIO 101) Assessment period: Fall 2019 – Summer 2022

Program or Department Mission:

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make responsible decisions in biological matters.

Course Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 101 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will demonstrate ability to apply reasoning and logic to assess ideas and situations, support positions, draw conclusions, and solve problems

The student will demonstrate understanding of mathematical concepts and scientific principles, and ability to use computers

Department Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.
- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism levels.
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
 - 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will recognize how the scientific method is utilized to explore biological processes.
- 2. Students will have the ability to recognize biological processes at the molecular, cellular and organismal levels.
- 3. Students will demonstrate an ability to identify basic genetic and molecular biology principles.

Intended Outcomes	Means of Assessment	Criteria for Success	Summary & Analys	is of Assessment Evidence	Use of Results
Students will recognize how the scientific method is	Student learning outcomes were	70% or > successful 69% or <	Jefferson	# students tested = 356 # correct = 965 % correct = 90	The students tested did meet
utilized to explore biological	assessed by using a 15 question standardized	unsuccessful The percent is based upon the	Shelby	# students tested = 476 # correct = 1265 % correct = 89	the requirements for success for SLO 1.
processes	multiple choice examination at the end of the	average of correctly answered questions related	Pell City	# students tested = 239 # correct = 591 % correct = 82	The success rate for SLO 1 is 81%
	semester. A total of three questions (Q-1 – Q-3) were used to assess	to SLO 1.	Clanton	# students tested = 38 # correct = 55 % correct = 48	which is a moderate increase from last 3 year report
	SLO-1.		Total Students Tested = 12 Total Annual Success Rate:		where the success rate was measured at 77%. This could be because we have been providing all students with the
					course material online throughout the semester.
					We will continue to make the lecture notes and study aids

2. Students will have the ability to recognize biological processes at the molecular, cellular and organismal levels	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 15 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of seven questions (Q4-Q10) were used to assess SLO-2.	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions related to SLO 2.	Jefferson Shelby Pell City Clanton Total Students Tested = 1 Total Annual Success Rate:		available to students online throughout the semester. The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 2. The success rate for SLO 1 is 76% which is a moderately increase from last 3 year report where the success rate was measured at 70%. This could be because we have been providing all students with the course material online throughout the semester.
3. Students will demonstrate an	Student learning outcomes were	70% or > successful	Jefferson Shelby	# students tested = 356 # correct = 1330 % correct = 75 # students tested = 476	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for
ability to identify basic genetic and	assessed by using a 15 question	unsuccessful The percent is	Sileiby	# correct = 1774 % correct = 75	SLO 3.
molecular biology principles.	standardized multiple choice examination at the	based upon the average of correctly answered	Pell City	# students tested = 239 # correct = 1064 % correct = 89	The success rate for SLO 1 is 78% which is a
	end of the	questions related	Clanton	# students tested = 38	significant

semeste	r. A total	to SLO 3.			# correct = 141	increase from last
of five qu	uestions				% correct = 74	3 year report
(Q11-Q1	.5) were					where the
used to a	assess		Total Studen	ts Tested = 110	09	success rate was
SLO-3.			Total Annual	Success Rate: 7	' 8%	measured at 52%.
						It should be
						noted that SLO 3
						was modified to
						account for a
						change in the
						course
						competencies as
						determined at the
						state level.
						Previously SLO 3
						measure students
						mastery of the
						anatomy and
						physiology
						component of the
						course. This
						material has been
						moved to BIO
						102, allowing for
						more time to be
						spent on
						molecular
						biology.
Plan submission date: Septem	ber 23, 2022		Submitted by	:		



Program: Biology (BIO 102)	Assessment period: Fall 2019 – Summer 2022	
----------------------------	--	--

Program or Department Mission:

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make responsible decisions in biological matters.

Course Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 102 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will read, understand, and evaluate materials written at a variety of levels and for a variety of purposes.

Department Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.
- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism levels.
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
- 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course level student learning outcomes

- 1. Students will demonstrate knowledge of evolution in both plant of animal life.
- 2. Students will identify general characteristics, anatomy, and taxonomy of plant and animals.
- 3. Students will explain the interrelationships between the varied life forms on earth and identify the role of humans within ecological systems.

Intended Outcomes	Means of Assessment	Criteria for Success	Summ	nary & Analys	is of Assessment Evidence	Use of Results
1. Students will demonstrate knowledge of evolution in both plant of animal life.	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 25 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of 7 questions (Q1-Q7) were used to assess SLO 1.	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions related to SLO 1.	10000	Jefferson Shelby Pell City Clanton Clanton nts Tested = 39	•	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 1. The success rate for SLO 1 is 80% which is markedly increase from last 3 year report where the success rate was measured at 67%. This could be because we have been providing all students with the course material online throughout the semester. We will continue to make the lecture notes and study aids available to students online throughout the semester.
2. Students will identify general characteristics, anatomy, and	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 25	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful	Fall 2021	Jefferson Shelby	# students tested = 9 # correct = 83 % correct = 66 # students tested = 198	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 2.

taxonomy of plant and animals.	question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of 14 questions (Q8-	The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions related to SLO 2.		Pell City Clanton	# correct = 2267 % correct = 82 # students tested = 190 # correct = 2299 % correct = 86 # students tested = 0 # correct = % correct =	The success rate for SLO 2 is 84% which is markedly higher than from last 3 year report where the success rate was measured at 70%. This could be because		
	Q21) were used to assess SLO 2.			nts Tested = 39 Success Rate:		we have been providing all students with the course material online throughout the semester. We will continue to make the instructional materials available to students online		
3. Students will	Student learning outcomes were	70% or > successful		Jefferson	# students tested = 9 # correct = 28	throughout the course. The students tested did meet the requirements		
explain the interrelationships between the varied	assessed by using a 25 question	69% or < unsuccessful The percent is		Shelby	% correct = 78 # students tested = 198 # correct =674	for success for SLO 3. The success rate for		
life forms on earth and identify the role of humans within ecological systems.	standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the	based upon the average of correctly answered		Pell City	% correct = 85 # students tested = 190 # correct = 689 % correct = 91	SLO 3 is 88% which is markedly from last 3 year report where the success rate was		
	semester. A total of 4 questions (Q22-	questions related to SLO 3.		Clanton	# students tested = 0 # correct = % correct =	measured at 69%. This could be because we have been providing all		
	Q25) were used to assess SLO 3.		Total Students Tested = 397 Total Annual Success Rate: 88%			students with the course material online throughout the semester.		

			We will work to improve this score by adding additional study materials for population ecology. We will continue to make the instructional materials available to students online.
Plan submission date	:: September 23, 202	22	



Program:	Biology (BIO 103)	Assessment period:	Fall 2019 – Summer 2022	
_	0 / \			

Program or Department Mission:

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make responsible decisions in biological matters.

Course Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 103 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will demonstrate ability to apply reasoning and logic to assess ideas and situations, support positions, draw conclusions, and solve problems

The student will demonstrate understanding of mathematical concepts and scientific principles, and ability to use computers

Department Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.
- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism levels
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
- 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will demonstrate knowledge of the fundamental concepts and processes in biology including the scientific method, evolution, biological macromolecules and biochemistry
- 2. Students will demonstrate an ability to identify molecular and cellular processes in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells.
- 3. The student will demonstrate an ability to recognize genetic, morphological and life cycle characteristics of bacteria, fungi, and viruses.

Intended Outcomes	Means of Assessment	Criteria for Success	Summary & Analysis of Assessment Evidence		Use of Results
1. Students will	Student learning	70% or >	Jefferson	# students tested = 224	The students tested
demonstrate	outcomes were	successful		# correct = 824	did meet the
knowledge of the	assessed by	69% or <		% correct = 87%	requirements for
fundamental	using a 14	unsuccessful	Shelby	# students tested = 366	success for SLO 1.
concepts and	question	The percent is		# correct = 1245	The success rate (80%)
processes in	standardized	based upon the		% correct = 85%	is nearly identical to
biology including	multiple choice	average of	Clanton	# students tested = 126	the last 3-year report
the scientific	examination at	correctly		# correct = 498	(79%).

method, evolution, biological macromolecules and biochemistry	the end of the semester. A total of four questions (Q1 – Q4) were used to assess SLO1	answered questions related to SLO1	Pell City Total Student Total Annual S	% correct = 95% # students tested = 64 # correct = 1990 % correct = 70% Is Tested = 800 Success Rate: 80%	The pandemic and the loss of 3 full-time faculty disrupted this 3-year cycle. Textbook selection described in Fall of 2019 was suspended. BIO 103 learning outcomes improved with all-online classes only to decline upon reopening. The department also replaced the faculty pairs described for each course sequence in the previous report with new committees of 4-6 faculty. The BIO 103/104 SLO committee will 1) Resume the textbook selection process. 2) Reevaluate the SLO questions. 3) increase availability of online resources. 4) pilot new labs
2: Students will demonstrate an ability to identify molecular and cellular processes in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells.	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 14 question standardized multiple choice examination at	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly	Shelby Clanton	# students tested = 244 # correct = 1254 % correct = 77% # students tested = 366 # correct = 1670 % correct = 69% # students tested = 126 # correct = 808	The students tested did not meet the requirements for success for SLO 2. The success rate for SLO 2 (69%) improved markedly compared to

the end of semester. total of sev questions (Q11) were to assess SI	A questions ren related to SLO2 Q5 – used	% correct = 92% # students tested = 64 # correct = 270 % correct = 60% Its Tested = 800 Success Rate: 69%	the last 3 year cycle (59%). As mentioned previously This 3-year cycle was disrupted by the pandemic and loss of 3 full-time faculty. The last 3-year report described changing textbooks as a possible means of improving
			this learning outcome. That process was suspended in Spring of 2020. The newly formed BIO 103/104S SLO committee will resume the process of textbook selection with the aim of making cell & molecular biology easier to understand.
			Additionally, they will evaluate online resources and lab exercises that might make these processes more intuitive for learners.

3: The student will demonstrate an ability to recognize genetic, morphological and life cycle characteristics of bacteria, fungi, and viruses.	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 14 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of three	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions related to SLO3	Shelby Clanton Pell City	# students tested = 244 # correct = 625 % correct = 83% # students tested = 366 # correct = 836 % correct = 70% # students tested = 126 # correct = 836 % correct = 92% # students tested = 64 # correct = 96	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 3. The success rate for SLO 2 (72%) improved markedly compared to the last 3 year cycle (63%). The newly formed BIO
	questions (Q12 – Q14) was used to assess SLO3			% correct = 50% ts Tested = 800 Success Rate: 72%	103/104S SLO committee will update the SLOs and the assessment. The ACCS revised course descriptions in 2019. "Fungi" was moved from BIO 103 to BIO 104S, which impacts this SLO. We will also consider measures of "discrimination" for each question in the assessment
					The depth of explanation for bacteriology and virology will be a consideration in our textbook evaluation.
Plan submission date:			Submitted by	<i>y</i> :	



Program: Biology (BIO 104S)	Assessment period:	Fall 2019–Summer 2022
-----------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make responsible decisions in biological matters.

Course Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 104 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will demonstrate ability to apply reasoning and logic to assess ideas and situations, support positions, draw conclusions, and solve problems

The student will demonstrate understanding of mathematical concepts and scientific principles, and ability to use computers

Department Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.
- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism level
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
- 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. The student will recognize the fundamental principles and supporting evidence necessary to explain Darwinian evolution.
- 2. The student will demonstrate an ability to identify the structural characteristics and life cycles of both plant and animal phyla.
- 3. The student can recognize components of community ecology and identify how biodiversity contributes to a stable ecosystem.

Intended	Means of	Criteria for	Summary & Analysis of Assessment Evidence	Use of Results

Outcomes	Assessment	Success		
1. The student will recognize the fundamental principles and supporting evidence necessary to explain Darwinian evolution.	Student learning outcomes were assessed using a 20 question multiple-choice assessment at the end of each semester. A total of 9 questions (Q1-Q6 and Q 18-20) were used to assess understanding of SLO1	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions (1 to 6) related to SLO 1. (6 questions)	 # students tested = 179 # correct = 1298 % correct = 84% # students tested = 63 # correct = 414 % correct = 73% s Tested = 242 Success Rate = 81%	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 1. The success rate for SLO 1 (81%) improved markedly compared to the last 3-year cycle (60%). The pandemic and faculty turnover disrupted this 3-year cycle. The factors that mediate the improvement are unknown. The move to online learning is one possibility. We will continue to offer an online BIO 104 as a service to students at the Pell City and Clanton campuses. The department created committees of 4-6 faculty in the Fall of 2021. The Shelby BIO 104 instructor was hired full time and is a member of the committee
2. The student will demonstrate an ability to identify the structural characteristics and life cycles of both plant and animal phyla.	Student learning outcomes were assessed using a 20 question multiple-choice assessment at the end of each semester. A total of 6 questions (Q7-Q12) were used to assess mastery of SLO2	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions (7 to 12 and 18 to 20) related to SLO 2. (9 total)	 # students tested = 179 # correct = 958 % correct = 89% # students tested = 63 # correct = 272 % correct = 72% s Tested = 242 Success Rate = 85%	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 2. The success rate for SLO 2 (85%) improved markedly compared to the last 3-year cycle (73%). We will continue to reteach key topics and emphasize

3. The student can recognize components of population and community ecology and identify how biodiversity contributes to a stable ecosystem.	Student learning outcomes were assessed using a 20 question multiple-choice assessment at the end of each semester. A total of 5 questions (Q13-Q17) were used to assess mastery of SLO3	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions (13 to 17) related to SLO 3. (5 total)		# students tested = 179 # correct = 644 % correct = 72% # students tested = 63 # correct = 198 % correct = 63% cs Tested = 242 Success Rate = 70%	learning via online resources and class discussions. The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 3. The success rate for SLO 1 (70%) improved markedly compared to the last 3-year cycle (57%). We will work to include ecology topics throughout the semester to ensure the material is covered adequately.
Plan submission date:		Submitted by	:		



Program:	Biology (BIO 201)	Assessment period:	Fall 2019 – Summer 2022
		<u> </u>	

Program or Department Mission:

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make

responsible decisions in biological matters					

Course Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 201 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will demonstrate ability to apply reasoning and logic to assess ideas and situations, support positions, draw conclusions, and solve problems

The student will demonstrate understanding of mathematical concepts and scientific principles, and ability to use computers

Department Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.
- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism levels.
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
- 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course Level Student Learning Outcomes Assessed

- 1. Students will be able to identify the terminology used in anatomy and physiology
- 2. Students will be able to identify and recognize the distinct characteristics of the systems listed below
 - A. Integumentary System
 - B. Skeletal System
 - C. Muscular System
 - D. Nervous System
- 3. Students will recognize the relationship between structural organization and function
- 4. Student will define homeostasis and identify the role of homeostasis within and between appropriate systems

- 5. Students will identify the major structures of each system
 - A. Integumentary System
 - B. Skeletal System
 - C. Muscular System
 - D. Nervous System

Intended Outcomes	Means of Assessment	Criteria for Success	Summary & Analys	Use of Results	
SLO 1: Students will be able to identify the terminology used in anatomy and physiology	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 16 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of 2 questions (Q2 and Q3) were used to assess SLO1	Correct responses by 70% of the students for each SLO will be defined as a successful outcome.	Shelby Clanton Pell City Total Students Tested = 209 Total Annual Success Rate =		The students tested did meet the requirements for success in SLO 1. The success rate for SLO 1 is 82%. This is a slight improvement from the last 3-year review, which was 81%. An effective change throughout this 3-year review was making course material online throughout the term, which we think may have contributed to student success in all SLOs measured. Instructors continued to diligently emphasize terminology used in anatomy and

SLO 2: Students will be able to identify and recognize the distinct characteristics of the systems listed below A. Integumentary System B. Skeletal System	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 16 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the	Correct responses by 70% of the students for each SLO will be defined as a successful outcome.		Jefferson Shelby Clanton	# students tested = 633 # correct = 1922 % correct = 77% # students tested = 889 # correct = 2948 % correct = 82% # students tested = 238 # correct = 710 % correct = 75%	throughout the term. Instructors will continue to intentionally incorporate vocabulary terms throughout instruction in lecture and lab. This will include specific regional and directional terminology. Course material will continue to be made available online throughout the term. The students tested did meet the requirement for success with SLO 2. The success rate for SLO 2 is 80%, which is an improvement over
C. Muscular System D. Nervous System Semester. A total of 7 questions (Q5, Q8, Q11, and			Pell City	# students tested = 337 # correct =1126 % correct = 83%	the 75% success rate for SLO in the previous 3-year review.	
	Q14) were used to assess SLO2		Total Students Total Annual St			An effective change throughout this 3-year review was making course material online throughout the term,

					which we think may have contributed to student success in all SLOs measured. Instruction on each body system was incorporated into lecture and lab throughout the term, while working to emphasize specific characteristics and functions of the systems listed. The body systems listed in SLO 2 will continue to be taught in both the lecture and the lab settings. Course material will continue to be made available online throughout the term.
SLO 3: Students will recognize the	Student learning outcomes were	Correct responses by 70% of the	Jefferson	# students tested = 633 # correct = 1938	The students tested did meet the
relationship between structural organization and	assessed by using a 16 question	students for each SLO will	Shelby	% correct = 85% # students tested =889 # correct =2464	requirement for success in SLO 3.
function	standardized multiple choice	be defined as a successful		% correct = 69%	The success rate for

examination at outcome. Clanton # students tested = 238 SLO 3 was	
	s 75%, which
the end of the # correct =751 is a market	ed increase
semester. A	in the last
total of 4 Pell City # students tested = 337	view.
question (Q1, # correct =908	
Q7, Q9, Q13)	ive change
was used to assess SLO3 Total Students Tested = 2097	ut this 3-year
Total Students Tested = 2097 Total Annual Success Rate = 75%	as making
course ma	aterial online
throughou	ut the term,
which we	think may
	tributed to
student si	uccess in all
SLOs mea	ısured.
Along with	h online
instruction	nal
materials,	, instructors
worked to	o highlight
and focus	student
attention	on the
relationsh	nip between
structure	and function
in both led	cture and
lab setting	gs.
	5
In the futu	ure, course
material v	will continue
to be made	de available
online thr	roughout the
term. Inst	tructors will
continue t	to stress the
importance	ce of the
structure-	

				relationship in the body systems covered in the course.
SLO 4: Student will define homeostasis and identify the role of homeostasis within and between appropriate systems	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 16 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of 2 questions (Q15 and Q16) were used to assess SLO4	Correct responses by 70% of the students for each SLO will be defined as a successful outcome.	Jefferson Shelby Clanton Pell City s Tested = 209 Success Rate =	The students tested did meet the requirements for success in SLO 4. The success rate for SLO 4 is 91%, which is an improvement over the previous 3-year review of 85%. An effective change throughout this 3-year review was making course material online throughout the term, which we think may have contributed to student success in all SLOs measured. Additionally, instructors worked to emphasize the importance of homeostasis within and between body systems throughout the term.

						We will continue to emphasize the importance of homeostasis among body systems in lecture and lab. Also, course material will continue to be made available online throughout the term.
SLO 5: Students will identify the major structures of each system A.Integumentary System B.Skeletal System C.Muscular System D.Nervous System	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 16 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of 4 questions (Q4, Q6, and Q10, Q12) were used to assess SLO5	Correct responses by 70% of the students for each SLO will be defined as a successful outcome.	Shelb Clante Pell C Total Students Teste Total Annual Success	# corr % cor y # stud # corr % cor m # stud # corr % cor ity # stud # corr % cor	dents tested = 633 rect = 2126 rect = 85% dents tested = 889 rect = 2771 rect = 81% dents tested = 238 rect = 835 rect = 88% dents tested = 337 rect = 1064 rect = 79%	The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 5. The success rate for SLO 5 is 83%, as compared to 79% from the previous 3-year review. This shows an increase in student success over the previous review term. An effective change throughout this 3-year review was making course material online throughout the term, which we think may have contributed to student success in all

				SLOs measured.	
				Also instructors	
				Also, instructors	
				worked to teach and	
				review the major	
				structures of the	
				systems listed.	
				Instructors continued	
				to stress the	
				structure-function	
				relationship within	
				each body system in	
				lecture and lab.	
				We will continue to	
				instruct students in	
				the body systems	
				listed, including major	
				structures and	
				structure-function	
				relationships within	
				each system. Course	
				material will continue	
				to be made available	
				online throughout the	
				term.	
Plan submission date:			Submitted by:		





Program: Biology (BIO 202) Assessment period: Fall 2019- Summer 2022
--

Program or Department Mission:

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make responsible decisions in biological matters.

Course Student Learning Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 202 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will demonstrate ability to apply reasoning and logic to assess ideas and situations, support positions, draw conclusions, and solve problems

The student will demonstrate understanding of mathematical concepts and scientific principles, and ability to use computers

Department Level Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.
- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism levels.
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
- 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course Level Student Learning Outcomes Assessed

- 1. Students will define and describe the systems listed below.
 - A. Endocrine System
 - B. Cardiovascular System
 - C. Lymphatic and Immune System
 - D. Respiratory System
 - E. Digestive System
 - F. Urinary System
 - G. Reproductive System
- 2. Students will define homeostasis and identify the role of homeostasis within and between appropriate systems.
- 3. Students will be able to recognize the major structures of each system listed below.
 - A. Endocrine System
 - B. Cardiovascular System
 - C. Lymphatic and Immune System
 - D. Respiratory System
 - E. Digestive System
 - F. Urinary System
 - G. Reproductive System

Intended Outcomes	Means of Assessment	Criteria for Success	Summa	ry & Analysis of Assessment Ev	vidence	Use of Results
1: Students will define and describe the systems listed below.	Student learning outcomes were assessed by	70% or > successful 69% or <	Jefferson	# students tested = 461 # correct = 1848 % correct = 80%		The students tested did meet the requirements
A. Endocrine System B. Cardiovascular System	using a 12 question standardized	unsuccessful The percent is based upon the	Shelby	# students tested = 772 # correct = 2789 % correct = 72%		for success for SLO 1.
C. Lymphatic and Immune System D. Respiratory	multiple choice examination at the end of the	average of correctly answered	Pell City	# students tested =177 # correct = 606 % correct = 68%		The success rate for SLO 1 was 73% over the
System E. Digestive System F. Urinary System	semester. A total of five questions (Q2,	questions related to SLO 1.	Clanton	# students tested =266 # correct = 911 % correct = 68%		three-year period. This represents and

G. Reproductive	Q4, Q7, Q8,					increase from
	Q12) were used		Total Cturden	ate Tested - 1676		61% over the
System	1			nts Tested = 1676		
	to assess SLO1.		Total Succes	s Rate = 73%		previous 3-year
						program review.
						Concerted efforts
						were made over
						this current
						review period to
						incorporate case
						studies to
						facilitate a
						complete
						understanding of
						the various organ
						systems.
						,
						We will continue
						to reinforce the
						various organ
						systems in both
						lecture and lab.
2: Students will define	Student learning	70% or >	Jefferson	# students tested = 461		The students
homeostasis and identify	outcomes were	successful	Jenerson	# correct = 820		tested did meet
the role of homeostasis	assessed by	69% or <		% correct = 89%		the requirements
within and between	using a 12	unsuccessful	Shelby	# students tested = 772	_	for success for
appropriate systems.	question	The percent is	Sileiby	# correct = 1279		SLO 2.
appropriate systems.	standardized	based upon the		% correct = 83%		310 2.
	multiple choice	average of	Doll City	# students tested =177		The success rate
	examination at	correctly	Pell City			for SLO 2 is 80%
	the end of the	answered		# correct = 247		which is
	semester. A	questions		% correct = 70%		
		•	Clanton	# students tested =266		consistent with
	total of 2	related to SLO2.		# correct = 338		the data collected
	questions (Q1			% correct = 64%		during the
	and Q6) were					previous program
	used to assess		Total Students Tested = 1676			review period.
	SLO2.		Total Succes	s Rate = 80%		Homeostasis is
						stressed in both

3: Students will be able to	Student learning	70% or >	Jefferson	# students tested = 461	201 and 202 and throughout every chapter. This is an underlying theme across all sections taught. We will continue to stress the importance of homeostasis in each chapter and with each organ system. The students
recognize the major structures of each system listed below. A. Endocrine System B. Cardiovascular	outcomes were assessed by using a 12 question standardized	successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the	Shelby	# students tested = 461 # correct = 2051 % correct = 89% # students tested = 772 # correct = 3248 % correct = 84%	tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 3.
System C. Lymphatic and Immune System	multiple choice examination at the end of the	average of correctly answered	Pell City	# students tested =177 # correct = 653 % correct = 70%	The success rate for SLO 3 is 84% which is an
D. Respiratory System E. Digestive System F. Urinary System	semester. A total of 5 questions (Q3, Q5 and Q9-Q11)	questions related to SLO3.	Clanton	# students tested =266 # correct = 1051 % correct = 79%	increase from the 75% success rate reported in the previous 3-year
G. Reproductive System	were used to assess SLO3.		Total Students Tested = 1676 Total Success Rate = 84%		program review. Efforts have been made across the campuses to increase the quality and number of models in BIO 202.

		We will continue
		to teach organ system identification in
		system
		identification in
		the lab.



Program:	Biology (BIO 220)	Assessment period	Fall 2019- Summer 2022
----------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Program or Department Mission:

The mission of the Biology Department is consistent with the mission of Jefferson State Community College. The department provides biology courses appropriate for students majoring in both science and non-science disciplines. Our teaching aims to help prepare students for their future professions both inside and outside of the scientific field and also to be a more informed member of their community, able to make responsible decisions in biological matters.

Course Student Outcomes & Assessment Plan

Biology 220 Course Level Assessment Rubric:

General Education Objective

The student will demonstrate ability to apply reasoning and logic to assess ideas and situations, support positions, draw conclusions, and solve problems

The student will demonstrate understanding of mathematical concepts and scientific principles, and ability to use computers

<u>Department Level Student Learning Outcomes</u>

1. Students will understand the principles and processes that are fundamental to life.

- 2. Students will understand the fundamental principles of biology at the elemental, cellular, molecular, and organism levels.
- 3. Students will receive the appropriate Biological knowledge to support a career within the Scientific, Medical, or Health and Fitness community
- 4. Students will understand principles of human biology that relate to health and fitness

Course Level Student Learning Outcomes Assessed

- 1. Students will be able to identify the differences between prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells as well as the structure and function of microorganisms in various environments.
- 2. Students will recognize the metabolic and genetic pathways in microorganisms as well as the clinical and industrial applications of these properties.
- 3. Students will be able to identify the relationship between microorganism infection and disease, interactions with the host immune system, and various methods for controlling the growth and dissemination of microorganisms.
- 4. Students will be able to recognize proper laboratory technique and protocols including aseptic technique, media selection, slide preparation, and microscopy.

Intended Outcomes	Means of Assessment	Criteria for Success	Summai	ry & Analysis of Assessment Evidence	Use of Results
1. Students will be able to identify the differences between prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells as well as the structure and function of microorganisms in various environments.	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 13 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of two questions (Q1 and Q2) were used to assess SLO-1.	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions related to SLO-1.	Shelby Pell City Clanton	# students tested = 296 # correct = 352 % correct = 60% # students tested = 519 # correct = 631 % correct = 61% # students tested =49 # correct = 75 % correct = 77% # students tested =211 # correct = 390 % correct = 92%	The students tested did not meet the requirements for success for SLO 1. The success rate for SLO 1 was 67%. Though it was a significant increase from the previous three year program review (57%) it did not meet the projected mark. It should be noted again that students are not required

			Total Studen	nts Tested = 1075	to take BIO 103 as a
			Total Succes		prerequisite for BIO 220
			Total Sacces	3 Nate - 6770	and are therefore lacking
					foundational knowledge
					in biology.
					We will continue to
					emphasize the differences
					between prokaryotic and
					eukaryotic cells
					throughout the semester.
					As noted previously, some
					instructors also
					administer quizzes on
					comparing the two cell
					types; where others
					provide worksheets.
					Instructors will continue
					to provide students with
					materials that they can
					access at home (via
					Platform links).
					We will also continue to
					work to provide the
					students with a
					fundamental knowledge
					of foundational biology.
2. Students will	Student learning	70% or > successful	Jefferson	# students tested = 296	
recognize the	outcomes were	69% or <		# correct = 688	The students tested did
metabolic and genetic	assessed by using a	unsuccessful		% correct = 78%	meet the requirements
pathways in	13 question	The percent is	Shelby	# students tested = 519	for success for SLO 2.
microorganisms as	standardized multiple	based upon the		# correct = 1132	
well as the clinical and	choice examination	average of correctly		% correct = 73%	The success rate for SLO 2
industrial applications	at the end of the	answered	Pell City	# students tested =49	was 77% which was
of these properties.	semester. A total of	questions related		# correct = 106	consistent with the data
or triese properties.	three questions (Q3 -	to SLO-2.		% correct = 72%	from the previous 3 year

	Q5) were used to		Clanton	# students tested =211	program review.
	assess SLO-2.		Clanton		program review.
	assess SLO-2.			# correct = 591	
				% correct = 93%	Instructors will continue
					to provide students with
			Total Studen	ts Tested = 1075	materials they can access
			Total Success Rate = 78%		at home (via Blackboard
					platform links). We will
					also continue to illustrate
					how the metabolic and
					genetic pathways relate
					to the activities at hand
					during laboratory
					exercises. During this
					review time frame, some
					instructors made use of
					virtual labs to strengthen
					students understanding of
					these concepts. We will
					encourage use of these
					virtual resources as pre-
					lab exercises.
3. Students will be	Student learning	70% or > successful	Jefferson	# students tested = 296	The students tested did
able to identify the	outcomes were	69% or <		# correct = 543	meet the requirements
relationship between	assessed by using a	unsuccessful		% correct = 92%	for success for SLO 3.
microorganism	13 question	The percent is	Shelby	# students tested = 519	
infection and disease,	standardized multiple	based upon the		# correct = 933	The success rate for SLO 3
interactions with the	choice examination	average of correctly		% correct = 90%	was 89% which is
	at the end of the	answered	Pell City	# students tested =49	consistent with the
host immune system,	semester. A total of	questions related		# correct = 83	previous three year
and various methods	two questions (Q6	to SLO-3.		% correct = 85%	program review.
for controlling the	and Q7) were used to		Clanton	# students tested =211	
growth and	assess SLO-3.			# correct = 394	We will continue to
dissemination of				% correct = 93%	emphasize content
microorganisms.			<u> </u>		related to infectious
			Total Students Tested = 1075 Total Success Rate = 91%		diseases during lecture
					and lab sessions.
					Instructors will also
	1				

4. Students will be able to recognize proper laboratory technique and protocols including aseptic technique, media selection, slide preparation, and microscopy.	Student learning outcomes were assessed by using a 13 question standardized multiple choice examination at the end of the semester. A total of 6 questions (Q8 – Q13) were used to assess SLO-4.	70% or > successful 69% or < unsuccessful The percent is based upon the average of correctly answered questions related to SLO-4.		# students tested = 296 # correct = 1321 % correct = 74% # students tested = 519 # correct = 2408 % correct = 77% # students tested =49 # correct = 248 % correct = 84% # students tested =211 # correct = 1201 % correct = 95% hts Tested = 1075 is Rate = 71%	continue to provide students with materials they can access at home (via Blackboard platform links). The students tested did meet the requirements for success for SLO 4. The success rate for SLO 4 was 84% which was significantly lower than the success rate during the previous 3 year program review. SLO4 is concerned primarily with laboratory content, and it should be noted that more than half the review time we were unable to host in person labs due to the COIVD19 pandemic. Instructors made use of a variety of resources, including virtual labs and at home lab kits to ensure we did meet our laboratory learning objectives during the shutdown. We will continue to emphasize the proper laboratory techniques and protocols throughout the semester.
--	--	---	--	--	---